arXiv:1611.01613v1 [math.DG] 5 Nov 2016

# MULTIPLICATIVE NAMBU STRUCTURES ON LIE GROUPOIDS

APURBA DAS

Abstract. We study some properties of coisotropic submanifolds of a manifold with respect to a given multivector field. Using this notion, we generalize the results of Weinstein [16] from Poisson bivector field to Nambu-Poisson tensor or more generally to any multivector field. We also introduce the notion of Nambu-Lie groupoid generalizing the concepts of both Poisson-Lie groupoid and Nambu-Lie group. We show that the infinitesimal version of Nambu-Lie groupoid is the notion of weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid as introduced in [1]. Next we introduce coisotropic subgroupoids of a Nambu-Lie groupoid and these subgroupoids corresponds to, so called coisotropic subalgebroids of the corresponding weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.

## 1. Introduction

In [16], Weinstein introduced the notion of coisotropic submanifold of a Poisson manifold generalizing the notion of Lagrangian submanifold of symplectic manifold. A submanifold $C$ of a Poisson manifold $(P,\pi)$ is called coisotropic, if $\pi^\sharp(TC)^0 \subset TC$, or, equivalently $\pi(\alpha,\beta)=0$, for all $\alpha,\beta \in (TC)^0$, where $(TC)^0$ is the conormal bundle of $C$. Moreover, Weinstein proved the following results.

(1) A map $\phi : P_1 \to P_2$ between Poisson manifolds is a Poisson map if and only if its graph is a coisotropic submanifold of $P_1 \times P_2^-$, where $P_2^-$ stands for the manifold $P_2$ with opposite Poisson structure.
(2) If $\phi : P \to Q$ is a surjective submersion from a Poisson manifold $P$ to some manifold $Q$, then $Q$ has a Poisson structure for which $\phi$ is a Poisson map if and only if

$$\{(x,y)|\phi(x)=\phi(y)\} \subset P \times P$$

is a coisotropic submanifold of $P \times P^-$.

To define the coisotropic submanifold of a Poisson manifold, one does not require the Poisson tensor to be closed, that is, $[\pi,\pi]=0$, where $[\ ,\ ]$ denotes the Schouten bracket on multivector fields. Therefore, the notion of coisotropic submanifolds make sense for any bivector field, or more generally, for any multivector field. Explicitly, if $M$ is a smooth manifold and $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(M) = \Gamma \bigwedge^n TM$ be an $n$-vector field on $M$, then a submanifold $C \hookrightarrow M$ is called coisotropic with respect to $\Pi$ if

$$\Pi^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1}(TC)^0) \subset TC \ \Leftrightarrow\ \Pi(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)=0, \text{ for all } \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n \in (TC)^0,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B62, 17B63, 53D17.
*Key words and phrases.* coisotropic submanifold, Nambu-Poisson bracket, Poisson groupoid, Lie algebroid, Lie bialgebroid.

where $\Pi^\sharp : \bigwedge^{n-1} T^*M \to TM$ is the bundle map induced by $\Pi$.

Nambu-Poisson manifolds are generalization of Poisson manifolds. Recall that a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$ is a manifold $M$ equipped with an $n$-vector field $\Pi$ such that the induced bracket on functions satisfies the Fundamental identity (Definition 2.1). The $n$-vector field $\Pi$ of a Nambu-Poisson manifold is referred to as the associated Nambu tensor. Coisotropic submanifolds of a Nambu-Poisson manifold $M$ are those submanifolds which are coisotropic with respect to the Nambu tensor $\Pi$.

In the present paper, we study some basic properties of coisotropic submanifolds of a manifold with respect to a given multivector field and generalize the results of Weinstein to the case of multivector field. More precisely, we prove the following results (Propositions 3.5 and 3.10).

(1) Let $(M, \Pi_M)$ and $(N, \Pi_N)$ be two manifolds with $n$-vector fields and $\phi : M \to N$ be a smooth map. Then $\phi_*\Pi_M = \Pi_N$ if and only if its graph
$$\mathrm{Gr}(\phi) := \{(m, \phi(m)) | m \in M\}$$
is a coisotropic submanifold of $M \times N$ with resoect to $\Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_N$.

(2) Let $(M, \Pi_M)$ be a manifold with an $n$-vector field and $\phi : M \to N$ be a surjective submersion. Then $N$ has an (unique) $n$-vector field $\Pi_N$ such that $\phi_*\Pi_M = \Pi_N$ if and only if
$$R(\phi) := \{(x, y) \in M \times M | \phi(x) = \phi(y)\}$$
is a coisotropic submanifold of $M \times M$ with respect to $\Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$.

Poisson Lie group is a Lie group equipped with a Poisson structure such that the group multiplication map is a Poisson map. Equivalently, a Lie group equipped with a Poisson structure is a Poisson Lie group if the Poisson bivector field is multiplicative [8]. These definitions have no natural extension when one wants to define Poisson groupoid. Nevertheless, the notion of coisotropic submanifolds of Poisson manifolds was used by Weinstein [16] to introduce the notion of Poisson groupoid. Recall that a Poisson groupoid is a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with a Poisson structure on $G$ such that the graph of the groupoid (partial) multiplication map is a coisotropic submanifold of $G \times G \times G^-$.

In [17], P. Xu gave an equivalent formulation of Poisson groupoid which generalizes the multiplicativity condition for Poisson Lie group. More generally, In [12], the authors introduced the notion of multiplicative multivector fields on a Lie groupoid. Given a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, an $n$-vector field $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(G)$ is called multiplicative, if the graph of the groupoid multiplication is a coisotropic submanifold of $G \times G \times G$ with respect to $\Pi \oplus \Pi \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi$. In this terminology, a Poisson groupoid is a Lie groupoid equipped with a multiplicative Poisson tensor.

In the present paper, we extend this approach to the case of Lie groupoid with a Nambu structure. We introduce the notion of a Nambu-Lie groupoid as a Lie groupoid with a Nambu structure $\Pi$ such that the Nambu tensor $\Pi$ is multiplicative (Definition 4.4). When $G$ is a Lie group, this definition coincides with the definition of Nambu-Lie group given by Vaisman [15]. Using results proved in [12] for mutiplicative multivector fields on Lie

groupoid, we deduce the following facts which are parallel to the case of Poisson groupoid. Suppose $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie groupoid, then

(1) $M \hookrightarrow G$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $G$;
(2) the groupoid inversion map $i : G \to G$ is an anti Nambu-Poisson map;
(3) there is a unique Nambu-Poisson structure $\Pi_M$ on $M$ for which the source map is a Nambu-Poisson map (Proposition 4.6).

It is well known that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold $M$ of order $n$, the space of 1-forms admits a skew-symmetric $n$-bracket which satisfies the Fundamental identity modulo some restriction ([1,4,15]). Moreover, the bracket on forms and the de-Rham differential of the manifold satisfy a compatibility condition similar to that of a Lie bialgebroid. This motivates the authors [1] to introduce a notion of weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$. If $M$ is a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$, then $(TM, T^*M)$ provides such an example. Roughly speaking, a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ ($n > 2$) over $M$ is a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ together with a skew-symmetric $n$-ary bracket on the space of sections of the dual bundle $A^* \to M$ and a bundle map $\rho : \bigwedge^{n-1} A^* \to TM$ satisfying some conditions (cf. Definition 5.1). Moreover it is proved in [1] that, if $(A, A^*)$ is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ over $M$, then there is an induced Nambu-Poisson structure of order $n$ on the base manifold $M$.

In the present paper, we prove that weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroids are infinitesimal form of Nambu-Lie groupoids. Explicitly, if $C$ is a coisotropic submanifold of a Nambu-Poisson manifold $(M, \Pi)$, then we show that the $n$-ary bracket on the space of 1-forms on $M$ restricts to the sections of the conormal bundle $(TC)^0 \to C$ and the induced bundle map $\Pi^\sharp : \bigwedge^{n-1} T^*M \to TM$ maps $\bigwedge^{n-1}(TC)^0$ to $TC$ (Proposition 5.5). Therefore, if $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ whose Lie algebroid is $AG \to M$, then as $M$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $G$, the space of sections of the dual bundle $A^*G \cong (TM)^0 \to M$ is equipped with a skew-symmetric $n$-ary bracket. Moreover, there is a bundle map $\bigwedge^{n-1} A^*G \to TM$ so that the pair $(AG, A^*G)$, with the above data, satisfies the conditions of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid. Thus we prove the following (cf. Theorem 5.7).

1.1. **Theorem.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ with Lie algebroid $AG \to M$. Then $(AG, A^*G)$ forms a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ over $M$.*

Finally, we compare the Nambu-Poisson structures on the base manifold $M$ induced from the Nambu-Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ and the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$ (cf. Proposition 5.8).

Next, we introduce the notion of a coisotropic subgroupoid $H \rightrightarrows N$ of a Nambu-Lie groupoid $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$. To study the infinitesimal form of a coisotropic subgroupoid, we introduce the notion of coisotropic subalgebroid of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid. Then we show that the Lie algebroid of a coisotropic subgroupoid $H \rightrightarrows N$ is a coisotropic subalgebroid of the corresponding weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$ (cf. Proposition 6.6).

**Organization.** The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall basic definitions and conventions. In section 3, we study some properties of coisotropic submanifolds of a

manifold with respect to a given multivector field and in section 4 we introduce Nambu-Lie groupoids and study some of its basic properties. In section 5, we show that the infinitesimal object corresponding to Nambu-Lie groupoid is weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid and in section 6 we introduce coisotropic subgroupoids of a Nambu-Lie groupoid and study their infinitesimal.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank his Ph.D supervisor Professor Goutam Mukherjee for his guidance and carefully reading the manuscript.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic preliminaries from [2,9,17] and fix the notations that will be used throughout the paper .

Nambu-Poisson manifolds are $n$-ary generalizations of Poisson manifolds introduced by Takhtajan [13].

2.1. **Definition.** Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. A *Nambu-Poisson structure* of order $n$ on $M$ is a skew-symmetric $n$-multilinear bracket

$$\{\ ,\dots,\ \} : C^\infty(M)\times \overset{(n)}{\dots} \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) *Leibniz rule*:
$\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},fg\} = f\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g\} + \{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},f\}g$;
(2) *Fundamental identity*:
$\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},\{g_1,\dots,g_n\}\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \{g_1,\dots,g_{k-1},\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g_k\},\dots,g_n\}$;

for all $f_i, g_j, f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. A manifold together with a Nambu-Poisson structure of order $n$ is called a *Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$*. Thus the space of smooth functions with this bracket forms a Nambu-Poisson algebra. A Nambu-Poisson manifold of order 2 is nothing but a Poisson manifold [14]. Since the bracket above is skew-symmetric and satisfies Leibniz rule, there exists an $n$-vector field $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(M)$, such that

$$\{f_1,\dots,f_n\} = \Pi(df_1,\dots,df_n),$$

for all $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(M)$. Given any $(n-1)$ functions $f_1,\dots,f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, the *Hamiltonian vector field* associated to these functions is denoted by $X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}$ and is defined by

$$X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}(g) = \{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g\}.$$

Note that the Fundamental identity, in terms of Hamiltonian vector fields, is equivalent to the condition

$$[X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}, X_{g_1\dots g_{n-1}}] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} X_{g_1\dots\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g_k\}\dots g_{n-1}}.$$

for all $f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g_1,\dots,g_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$. The Fundamental identity can also be rephrased as

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}}\Pi = 0,$$

for all $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, which shows that every Hamiltonian vector field preserves the Nambu tensor. A Nambu-Poisson manifold is often denoted by $(M, \{\ ,\ldots,\ \})$ or simply by $(M, \Pi)$.

2.2. **Example.** (1) Let $M$ be an orientable manifold of dimension $n$ and $\nu$ be a volume form on $M$. Define an $n$-bracket $\{\ ,\ldots,\ \}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ by the following identity

$$df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_n = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}\nu.$$

Then $\{\ ,\ldots,\ \}$ defines a Nambu-Poisson structure of order $n$ on $M$. Let $\Pi_\nu \in \Gamma\bigwedge^n TM$ denotes the associated Nambu-Poisson tensor. If $\Pi \in \Gamma\bigwedge^n TM$ is any Nambu-Poisson structure of order $n$ such that $\Pi \neq 0$ at every point, then there exists a volume form $\nu'$ on $M$ such that $\Pi = \Pi_{\nu'}$. If $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ is the standard volume form, then one recovers the Nambu structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ originally discussed by Y. Nambu [11].

(2) Let $\Pi$ be any $n$-vector field on an oriented manifold $M$ of dimension $n$. Then $\Pi$ defines a Nambu structure of order $n$ on $M$ (see [5]).

(3) Let $M$ be a manifold of dimension $m$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be linearly independent vector fields such that $[X_i, X_j] = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the $n$-vector field $\Pi = X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n$ defines a Nambu structure of order $n$.

(4) Let $(M, \{\ ,\ldots,\ \})$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$. Suppose $k \leqslant n-2$ and $F_1, \ldots, F_k \in C^\infty(M)$ be any fixed functions on $M$. Define a $(n-k)$-bracket $\{\ ,\ldots,\ \}'$ on $C^\infty(M)$ by

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-k}\}' = \{F_1, \ldots, F_k, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-k}\}$$

for $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-k} \in C^\infty(M)$. Then $\{\ ,\ldots,\ \}'$ defines a Nambu-structure of order $(n-k)$ on $M$. This Nambu structure is called the *subordinate Nambu structure* of $(M, \{\ ,\ldots,\ \})$ with subordinate function $F_1, \ldots, F_k$.

(5) If $\Pi_i$ is a Nambu structure of order $n_i$ on a manifold $M_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, then $\Pi = \Pi_1 \wedge \Pi_2$ is a Nambu structure of order $n_1 + n_2$ on $M_1 \times M_2$ [2].

More examples of Nambu structures can be found in [6,15].

Let $(M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$. For each $m \in M$, let $\mathcal{D}_m M \subset T_m M$ be the subspace of the tangent space at $m$ generated by all Hamiltonian vector fields at $m$. Since the Lie bracket of two Hamiltonians is again a Hamiltonian, therefore $\mathcal{D}$ defines a *(singular) integrable distribution* whose leaves are either $n$-dimensional submanifolds endowed with a volume form or just singletons [2].

2.3. **Definition.** Let $(M, \Pi_M)$ and $(N, \Pi_N)$ be two manifolds with $n$-vector fields. A smooth map $\phi : M \to N$ is called $(\Pi_M, \Pi_N)$-*map* if the induced brackets on functions satisfies:

$$\{\phi^* f_1, \ldots, \phi^* f_n\}_M = \phi^*\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}_N$$

for all $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in C^\infty(N)$, or equivalently, $\phi_* \Pi_M = \Pi_N$. The map $\phi$ is called an *anti* $(\Pi_M, \Pi_N)$*-map* if

$$\{\phi^* f_1, \ldots, \phi^* f_n\}_M = (-1)^{n-1} \phi^* \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}_N$$

for all $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in C^\infty(N)$. A $(\Pi_M, \Pi_N)$-map $\phi : (M, \Pi_M) \longrightarrow (N, \Pi_N)$ between Nambu-Poisson manifolds of the same order $n$ is called a *Nambu-Poisson map* or a $N$-$P$-map.

2.4. *Remark.* The condition for a $(\Pi_M, \Pi_N)$-map can also be expressed in terms of the induced bundle maps as

$$\Pi^\sharp_{N,\phi(m)} = T_m\phi \circ \Pi^\sharp_{M,m} \circ T^*_m\phi \ \text{ for each } m \in M,$$

where $\Pi^\sharp_M : \bigwedge^{n-1} T^*M \to TM$ is the induced bundle map and is given by

$$\langle \beta, \Pi^\sharp_M(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}) \rangle = \Pi_M(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta)$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta \in T^*_x M$, $x \in M$.

2.5. **Definition.** A *Lie groupoid* over a smooth manifold $M$ is a smooth manifold $G$ together with the following structure maps:

(1) two surjective submersions $\alpha, \beta : G \to M$, called the *source* map and the *target* map respectively;
(2) a smooth *partial multiplication* map
$$G_{(2)} = \{(g, h) \in G \times G | \beta(g) = \alpha(h)\} \to G, \ (g, h) \mapsto gh;$$
(3) a smooth *unit* map $\epsilon : M \to G$, $x \mapsto \epsilon_x$;
(4) and a smooth *inverse* map $i : G \to G$, $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ with $\alpha(g^{-1}) = \beta(g)$ and $\beta(g^{-1}) = \alpha(g)$

such that, the following conditions are satisfied

(i) $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)$ and $\beta(gh) = \beta(h)$;
(ii) $(gh)k = g(hk)$, whenever the multiplications make sense;
(iii) $\alpha(\epsilon_x) = \beta(\epsilon_x) = x$, $\forall x \in M$;
(iv) $\epsilon_{\alpha(g)} g = g$ and $g\epsilon_{\beta(g)} = g$, $\forall g \in G$;
(v) $gg^{-1} = \epsilon_{\alpha(g)}$ and $g^{-1}g = \epsilon_{\beta(g)}$, $\forall g \in G$.

A Lie groupoid $G$ over $M$ is denoted by $G \rightrightarrows M$ when all the structure maps are understood.

2.6. *Remark.* Note that the smooth structure on $G_{(2)}$ comes from the fact that

$$G_{(2)} = (\beta \times \alpha)^{-1}(\Delta_M),$$

where $\beta \times \alpha : G \times G \to M \times M$, $(g, h) \mapsto (\beta(g), \alpha(h))$ and $\Delta_M = \{(m, m) | m \in M\} \subset M \times M$ is the diagonal submanifold of $M \times M$. Then these conditions imply that the inverse map $i : G \to G$, $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ is also smooth [9]. Moreover, $\alpha$-fibers and $\beta$-fibers are submanifolds of $G$ as both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are surjective submersions.

2.7. **Definition.** Given a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, define an equivalence relation $' \sim'$ on $M$ by the following: two points $x, y \in M$ are said to be equivalent, written as $x \sim y$, if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\alpha(g) = x$, $\beta(g) = y$. The quotient $M/\sim$ is called the *orbit set* of $G$.

2.8. **Definition.** Given two Lie groupoid $G_1 \rightrightarrows M_1$ and $G_2 \rightrightarrows M_2$, a *morphism* between Lie groupoids is a pair $(F, f)$ of smooth maps $F : G_1 \to G_2$ and $f : M_1 \to M_2$ which commute with all the structure maps of $G_1$ and $G_2$. In other words,

$$\alpha_2 \circ F = f \circ \alpha_1, \ \beta_2 \circ F = f \circ \beta_1, \text{ and } F(g_1 h_1) = F(g_1)F(h_1)$$

for all $(g_1, h_1) \in (G_1)_{(2)}$.

2.9. **Definition.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. A *Lie subgroupoid* of it is a Lie groupoid $H \rightrightarrows N$ together with injective immersions $i : H \to G$ and $i_0 : N \to M$ such that $(i, i_0)$ is a Lie groupoid morphism.

2.10. **Definition.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. A submanifold $\mathcal{K}$ of $G$ is called a *bisection* of the Lie groupoid, if $\alpha|_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \to M$ and $\beta|_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \to M$ are diffeomorphisms.

The existence of local bisections through any point $g \in G$ is always guaranted. The space of bisections $\mathcal{B}(G)$ form an infinite dimensional (Fréchet) Lie group under the multiplication of subsets induced from the partial multiplication of $G$. Note that the left (right) multiplication is defined only on $\alpha$-fibers ($\beta$-fibers), therefore, we can not define a diffeomorphism of $G$ using left (right) multiplication by an element, like a Lie group. However we can do so by using bisection instead of an element. Given a bisection $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, let $l_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $r_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the diffeomorphisms on $G$ defined by

$$l_{\mathcal{K}}(h) = gh, \text{ where } g \in \mathcal{K} \text{ is the unique element such that } \beta(g) = \alpha(h)$$

and

$$r_{\mathcal{K}}(h) = hg', \text{ where } g' \in \mathcal{K} \text{ is the unique element such that } \alpha(g') = \beta(h).$$

2.11. *Remark.* Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is any (local) bisection of $G$ through $g \in G$. Then the restriction of the map $l_{\mathcal{K}}$ to $\alpha^{-1}(\beta(g))$ is the left translation $l_g$ by $g$:

$$l_g : \alpha^{-1}(\beta(g)) \to \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(g)), \ h \mapsto gh.$$

Then we have the following result [17].

2.12. **Proposition.** *Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and $P$ be an $n$-vector field on $G$. Suppose for any $g \in G$ with $\beta(g) = u$, $P$ satisfies $P(g) = (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* P(\epsilon_u)$, where $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ is any arbitrary bisection through the point $g$. Then $P$ is left invariant.*

2.13. **Definition.** A *Lie algebroid* $(A, [\ ,\ ], a)$ over a smooth manifold M is a smooth vector bundle $A$ over M together with a Lie algebra structure $[\ ,\ ]$ on the space $\Gamma A$ of the smooth sections of $A$ and a bundle map $a : A \to TM$ , called the *anchor*, such that

(1) the induced map $a : \Gamma A \to \mathcal{X}^1(M)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, where $\mathcal{X}^1(M)$ is the usual Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$.

(2) For any $X, Y \in \Gamma A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (a(X)f)Y.$$

We may denote a Lie algebroid simply by $A$, when all the structures are understood. Any Lie algebra is a Lie algebroid over a point with zero anchor. The tangent bundle of any smooth manifold is a Lie algebroid with usual Lie bracket of vector fields and identity as anchor.

**Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid.** Given a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, its Lie algebroid consists of the vector bundle $AG \to M$ whose fiber at $x \in M$ coincides with the tangent space at the unit element $\epsilon_x$ of the $\alpha$-fiber at $x$. Then the space of sections of $AG$ can be identified with the left invariant vector fields

$$\mathcal{X}^1_{\text{inv}}(G) = \{X \in \Gamma(T^\alpha G) = \Gamma(\ker(d\alpha)) | X_{gh} = (l_g)_* X_h, \forall (g, h) \in G_{(2)}\}$$

on $G$. Since the space of left invariant vector fields on $G$ is closed under the Lie bracket, therefore it defines a Lie bracket on $\Gamma AG$. The anchor $a$ of $AG$ is defined to be the differential of the target map $\beta$ restricted to $AG$.

Let $AG$ be the Lie algebroid of the Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$. Given any $X \in \Gamma AG$, let $\overleftarrow{X}$ be the corresponding left invariant vector field on $G$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a 1-parameter family of transformations $\phi_t$ ($|t| < \epsilon$), generated by $\overleftarrow{X}$ ([9]). Suppose each $\phi_t$ is defined on all of $M$, where $M$ is identified with a closed embedded submanifold of $G$ via the unit map. We denote the image of $M$ via $\phi_t$ by $\exp tX$. Then $\exp tX$ is a bisection of the groupoid (for all $|t| < \epsilon$) and satisfies 1-parameter group like conditions, namely

$$\exp(t + s)X = \exp tX \cdot \exp sX, \qquad \text{whenever} \quad |t|, |s|, |t + s| < \epsilon,$$

where on the right hand side, we used the multiplication of bisections.

## 3. Coisotropic submanifolds

Let $M$ be a manifold and $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(M)$ be a $n$-vector field on $M$. Let

$$\Pi^\sharp : \bigwedge^{n-1} T^*M \to TM$$

be the induced bundle map given by

$$\langle \beta, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}) \rangle = \Pi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta)$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta \in T^*_x M$, $x \in M$.

We recall the following definition from [12].

3.1. **Definition.** A submanifold $C \hookrightarrow M$ is said to be *coisotropic* with respect to $\Pi$, if

$$\Pi^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} (TC)^0) \subset TC$$

where

$$(TC)^0_x = \{\alpha \in T^*_x M |\ \alpha(v) = 0, \forall v \in T_x C\},\ x \in C,$$

or equivalently,

$$\Pi_x(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = 0, \forall \alpha_i \in (TC)^0_x, x \in C.$$

We have the following easy observation for coisotropic submanifolds of a Nambu-Poisson manifold.

3.2. **Proposition.** *Let $(M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$ and $C$ be a closed embedded submanifold of $M$. Let $\mathcal{I}(C) = \{f \in C^\infty(M) \big| f|_C \equiv 0\}$ denote the vanishing ideal of $C$. Then the followings are equivalent:*

*(1) $C$ is a coisotropic submanifold;*
*(2) $\mathcal{I}(C)$ is a Nambu-Poisson subalgebra;*
*(3) for every $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{I}(C)$, the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{f_1 \ldots f_{n-1}}$ is tangent to $C$.*

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{I}(C)$. Then for any $x \in C$, $d_x f_i \in (TC)^0_x$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Now since $C$ is a coisotropic submanifold, we have

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}(x) = \Pi_x(d_x f_1, \ldots, d_x f_n) = 0, \ \forall x \in C.$$

Hence $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \in \mathcal{I}(C)$. Therefore $\mathcal{I}(C)$ is a Nambu-Poisson subalgebra.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{I}(C)$ and $x \in C$. Let $\alpha \in (TC)^0_x$. Then there exists a function $g$ vanishing on $C$ such that $d_x g = \alpha$. Since $\mathcal{I}(C)$ is a Nambu-Poisson subalgebra, we have

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g\}(x) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$X_{f_1 \ldots f_{n-1}}\big|_x(\alpha) = X_{f_1 \ldots f_{n-1}}\big|_x(d_x g) = \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g\}(x) = 0$$

and consequently, $X_{f_1 \ldots f_{n-1}}$ is tangent to $C$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $x \in C$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in (TC)^0_x$. Then there exist functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{I}(C)$ such that $d_x f_i = \alpha_i$, $\forall i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore,

$$\Pi_x(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \Pi_x(d_x f_1, \ldots, d_x f_n) = X_{f_1 \ldots f_{n-1}}\big|_x(d_x f_n) = 0.$$

Hence $C$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$. □

3.3. **Proposition.** *Let $(M, \Pi_M)$ and $(N, \Pi_N)$ be two Nambu-Poisson manifolds of same order $n$ and $C \hookrightarrow N$ be a coisotropic submanifold of $N$ with respect to $\Pi_N$. If $\phi : M \to N$ is a Nambu-Poisson map transverse to $C$, then $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$ with respect to $\Pi_M$ (the result holds true for manifolds with $n$-vector fields such that $\phi_* \Pi_M = \Pi_N$).*

*Proof.* Since $\phi$ is transverse to $C$, therefore $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is a submanifold of $M$. Moreover

$$T(\phi^{-1}(C)) = (T\phi)^{-1} TC.$$

Therefore $T(\phi^{-1}(C))^0 = (T\phi)^*(TC)^0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T\phi(\Pi_M^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} T(\phi^{-1}(C))^0)) =& T\phi(\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)^* \bigwedge^{n-1} (TC)^0)) \\ =& \Pi_N^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} (TC)^0) \\ \subseteq& TC. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Pi_M^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} T(\phi^{-1}(C))^0) \subseteq (T\phi)^{-1} TC = T(\phi^{-1}(C))$$

and hence $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is coisotropic with respect to $\Pi_M$. □

3.4. **Proposition.** *Let $\phi : (M, \Pi_M) \to (N, \Pi_N)$ be a Nambu Poisson map between two Nambu-Poisson manifolds $(M, \Pi_M)$ and $(N, \Pi_N)$ and $C \hookrightarrow M$ be a coisotropic submanifold of $M$. Assume that $\phi(C)$ is a submanifold of $N$. Then $\phi(C)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $N$ (the result holds true for manifolds with $n$-vector fields such that $\phi_*\Pi_M = \Pi_N$).*

*Proof.* We have $T(\phi(C)) \supseteq T\phi(TC)$ and $(T\phi)^*(T(\phi(C)))^0 \subseteq (TC)^0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_N^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} T(\phi(C))^0) =& T\phi(\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)^* \bigwedge^{n-1} T(\phi(C))^0)) \qquad \text{(since } \phi \text{ is a N-P map)} \\ \subseteq& T\phi(\Pi_M^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1} (TC)^0)) \\ \subseteq& T\phi(TC) \qquad \text{(since } C \hookrightarrow M \text{ is coisotropic)} \\ \subseteq& T(\phi(C)) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\phi(C)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $N$. □

Using the terminology of coisotropic submanifold with respect to any multivector field allows us to extend the results of Weinstein [16] from Poisson bivector field to Nambu-Poisson tensor or more generally to any multivector field.

3.5. **Proposition.** *Let $(M, \Pi_M)$ and $(N, \Pi_N)$ be two manifolds with $n$-vector fields and $\phi : M \to N$ be a smooth map. Then $\phi$ is a $(\Pi_M, \Pi_N)$-map, that is $\phi_*\Pi_M = \Pi_N$ if and only if its graph*

$$Gr(\phi) = \{(m, \phi(m)) | m \in M\}$$

*is a coisotropic submanifold of $M \times N$ with respect to $\Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_N$.*

*Proof.* Let $C = \mathrm{Gr}(\phi) \subset M \times N$. Then $C$ is a closed embedded submanifold of $M \times N$. Note that, a tangent vector to the graph consist of a pair $(v_m, (T\phi)(v_m))$, where $m \in M$, $v_m \in T_mM$. Therefore, $(TC)^0$ consists of a pair of covectors $(-(T\phi)^*\psi, \psi)$, where $\psi \in T^*_{\phi(m)}N$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Gr}(\phi)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M \times N$ with respect to $\Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_N$ if and only if $(\Pi_M^\sharp \times (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_N^\sharp)$ maps $(-(T\phi)^*\psi_1, \psi_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (-(T\phi)^*\psi_{n-1}, \psi_{n-1})$ into $TC$, for all $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1} \in T^*_{\phi(m)}N$ and $m \in M$. In other words,

$$(T\phi)\Big(\Pi_M^\sharp(-(T\phi)^*\psi_1, \ldots, -(T\phi)^*\psi_{n-1})\Big) = (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_N^\sharp(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1})$$

that is,

$$(T\phi)\bigg(\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)^*\psi_1,\dots,(T\phi)^*\psi_{n-1})\bigg) = \Pi_N^\sharp(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{n-1}).$$

This is equivalent to the condition that $\phi$ is a $(\Pi_M,\Pi_N)$-map. □

3.6. **Definition.** Let $(M,\Pi_M)$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$ and $\phi : M \to N$ be a smooth surjective map. If there exist a Nambu-Poisson structure $\Pi_N$ (of order $n$) on $N$ which makes $\phi$ into a Nambu-Poisson map, then $\Pi_N$ is called the Nambu-Poisson structure *coinduced* by the mapping $\phi$.

The following is a characterization of coinduced Nambu-Poisson structure.

3.7. **Proposition.** *Let $(M,\Pi_M)$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$ and $\phi : M \to N$ be a smooth surjective map from $M$ to some manifold $N$. Then $N$ has a Nambu-Poisson structure coinduced by $\phi$ if and only if for all $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(N)$, the function $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M$ is constant along the fibers of $\phi$.*

*Proof.* Let $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(N)$. If the function $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M$ is constant along the $\phi$-fibers, then there exists a function on $N$, which we denote by $\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}_N$ such that $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M = \phi^*\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}_N$. Clearly this bracket defines a coinduced Nambu-Poisson structure on $N$.

Conversely, suppose that there is a Nambu-Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\dots,\ \}_N$ on $N$ coinduced by $\phi$. Then for any $y \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M(\phi^{-1}\{y\}) =& (\phi^*\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}_N)(\phi^{-1}y)\\ =& \{f_1,\dots,f_n\}_N(y)\end{aligned}$$

proving $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M$ is constant along the $\phi$-fibers. □

3.8. *Remark.* Let $(M,\Pi_M)$ be a manifold with an $n$-vector field and $\phi : M \to N$ be a smooth map. Then there exists an $n$-vector field $\Pi_N$ on $N$ such that $\phi$ is a $(\Pi_M,\Pi_N)$-map if and only if for all $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(N)$, the function $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M$ is constant along the fibers of $\phi$.

3.9. **Proposition.** *Let $(M,\Pi_M)$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold and $\phi : M \to N$ be a surjective submersion with connected fibers. Let $\ker\phi_*(m)$ is spanned by local Hamiltonian vector fields (that is, $\ker\phi_*(m) \subset \mathcal{D}_mM$), for all $m \in M$. Then $N$ has a Nambu-Poisson structure coinduced by $\phi$.*

*Proof.* Since $\phi$ is a submersion, the fibers of $\phi$ are submanifolds of $M$. Then for $y \in N$, $\phi^{-1}(\{y\}) = C$ is a submanifold of $M$. Let $g_1,\dots,g_{n-1}$ be locally defined functions on $M$ such that $X_{g_1\dots g_{n-1}} \in \ker\phi_*$. Let $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(N)$. To prove that $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}$ is constant on the fibers, it is enough to prove that

$$X_{g_1\dots g_{n-1}}\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\} = 0.$$

Note that

$$X_{g_1\dots g_{n-1}}\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,X_{g_1\dots g_{n-1}}(\phi^*f_k),\dots,\phi^*f_n\}$$

and the functions $\phi^*f_i$ are constant along the fibers. Hence by the Proposition 3.7, there exists a coinduced Nambu-Poisson structure on $N$. □

3.10. **Proposition.** *Let $(M,\Pi_M)$ be a manifold with an $n$-vector field and $\phi : M \to N$ be a surjective submersion. Then $N$ has an (unique) $n$-vector field $\Pi_N$ such that $\phi$ is a $(\Pi_M,\Pi_N)$-map if and only if $R(\phi)=\{(x,y)\in M\times M|\phi(x)=\phi(y)\}$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M\times M$ with respect to $\Pi_M\oplus(-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$.*

*Proof.* Note that $R(\phi)=(\phi\times\phi)^{-1}(\Delta_N)$, where $\Delta_N$ is the diagonal of $N\times N$. Since $\phi$ is surjective submersion $R(\phi)$ is a submanifold of $M\times M$. Moreover, for $(x,y)\in R(\phi)$

$$T_{(x,y)}(R(\phi))=\{(X,Y)\in T_xM\times T_yM|(T\phi)_x(X)=(T\phi)_y(Y)\}.$$

Therefore, $T(R(\phi))^0$ consists of covectors $(-(T\phi)_x^*\psi,(T\phi)_y^*\psi)$, where $\psi\in T^*_{\phi(x)}N$.

Thus, $R(\phi)$ be a coisotropic submanifold of $M\times M$ with respect to $\Pi_M\oplus(-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$ if and only if for all $\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{n-1}\in T^*_{\phi(x)}N$ and $(x,y)\in R(\phi)$, $\Pi_M^\sharp\oplus(-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M^\sharp$ maps

$$(-(T\phi)_x^*\psi_1,(T\phi)_y^*\psi_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(-(T\phi)_x^*\psi_{n-1},(T\phi)_y^*\psi_{n-1})$$

into $T(R(\phi))$. That is

$$(T\phi)_x\Pi_M^\sharp(-(T\phi)_x^*\psi_1,\dots,-(T\phi)_x^*\psi_{n-1})=(-1)^{n-1}(T\phi)_y\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)_y^*\psi_1,\dots,(T\phi)_y^*\psi_{n-1}),$$

or equivalently,

$$(T\phi)_x\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)_x^*\psi_1,\dots,(T\phi)_x^*\psi_{n-1})=(T\phi)_y\Pi_M^\sharp((T\phi)_y^*\psi_1,\dots,(T\phi)_y^*\psi_{n-1}) \tag{1}$$

holds. Let $f_1,\dots,f_n\in C^\infty(N)$ and $x\in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M(x)=&\langle\Pi_M^\sharp(d_x(\phi^*f_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge d_x(\phi^*f_{n-1})),d_x(\phi^*f_n)\rangle\\=&\langle\Pi_M^\sharp\big((T\phi)_x^*\psi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge(T\phi)_x^*\psi_{n-1}\big),(T\phi)_x^*\psi_n\rangle\\=&\langle(T\phi)_x\Pi_M^\sharp\big((T\phi)_x^*\psi_1\wedge\cdots\wedge(T\phi)_x^*\psi_{n-1}\big),\psi_n\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_i=d_{\phi(x)}f_i=d_{\phi(y)}f_i\in T^*_{\phi(x)}N$, for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$. It follows from the Equation (1) that the function $\{\phi^*f_1,\dots,\phi^*f_n\}_M$ is constant along the $\phi$-fibers if and only if $R(\phi)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M\times M$ with respect to $\Pi_M^\sharp\oplus(-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M^\sharp$. Hence the result follows by the Remark 3.8. The uniqueness follows from the surjectivity of $\phi$. □

## 4. Nambu-Lie groupoids

In this section, we recall the definition of multiplicative multivector fields on Lie groupoid ([12]) and define Nambu-Lie groupoid (of order $n$) as a Lie groupoid with a multiplicative $n$-vector field which is also a Nambu-Poisson tensor.

4.1. **Definition.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(G)$ be an $n$-vector field on $G$. Then $\Pi$ is called *multiplicative* if the graph of the groupoid multiplication

$$\{(g, h, gh) \in G \times G \times G |\ \beta(g) = \alpha(h)\}$$

is a coisotropic submanifold of $G \times G \times G$ with respect to $\Pi \oplus \Pi \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi$.

Then we have the following characterization of multiplicative multivector fields [12]:

4.2. **Theorem.** *Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(G)$ be an $n$-vector field on $G$. Then $\Pi$ is multiplicative if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

*(1) $\Pi$ is an affine tensor. In other words*

$$\Pi(gh) = (r_{\mathcal{H}})_*\Pi(g) + (l_{\mathcal{G}})_*\Pi(h) - (r_{\mathcal{H}})_*(l_{\mathcal{G}})_*\Pi(u)$$

*where $u = \beta(g) = \alpha(h)$ and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ are (local) bisections through the points $g, h$ respectively.*

*(2) $M$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $G$ with respect to $\Pi$.*

*(3) For all $g \in G$, $\alpha_*\Pi(g)$ and $\beta_*\Pi(g)$ depend only on the base points $\alpha(g)$ and $\beta(g)$ respectively.*

*(4) For all $f, f' \in C^\infty(M)$, the $(n-2)$-vector field $\iota_{d(\alpha^* f)\wedge d(\beta^* f')}\Pi$ is zero. In other words,*

$$\{\ , \dots, \alpha^* f, \beta^* f'\} = 0.$$

*(5) For all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(M)$, $\iota_{d(\beta^* f_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge d(\beta^* f_k)}\Pi$ is a left invariant $(n-k)$-vector field on $G$, $1 \leqslant k < n$.*

4.3. *Remark.* Suppose $G$ be a Lie group considered as a Lie groupoid over a point. Then the conditions *(3)* - *(5)* of the Theorem 4.2 are satisfied automatically. The condition *(2)* implies that $\Pi(e) = 0$ (where $e$ is the identity element of the group), which together with condition *(1)* implies that $\Pi$ satisfies the usual multiplicativity condition

$$\Pi(gh) = (r_h)_*\Pi(g) + (l_g)_*\Pi(h).$$

4.4. **Definition.** A *Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$* is a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with a multiplicative Nambu tensor $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(G)$ of order $n$.

A Nambu Lie groupoid (of order $n$) will be denoted by $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$.

4.5. **Example.**

(1) Poisson groupoids [16] are examples of Nambu-Lie groupoids with $n = 2$.

(2) Any Lie groupoid with zero Nambu structure is a Nambu-Lie groupoid.

(3) Let $(G, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie group (of order $n$) [15]. Thus $G$ is a Lie group equipped with a Nambu structure $\Pi$ of order $n$ on $G$ such that

$$\Pi(gh) = (r_h)_*\Pi(g) + (l_g)_*\Pi(h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Note that the right hand side of the above equality is equal to $m_*(\Pi(g), \Pi(h))$, where $m_* : \bigwedge^n T_{(g,h)}(G \times G) \to \bigwedge^n T_{gh}G$ is the map induced by the

multiplication map $m : G \times G \to G$. Therefore,

$$\Pi(gh) = m_*(\Pi(g), \Pi(h)).$$

Thus, the group multiplication map $m : G \times G \to G$ is a $(\Pi \oplus \Pi, \Pi)$-map. Therefore, by the Proposition 3.5, the graph of the group multiplication map is a coisotropic submanifold of $G \times G \times G$ with respect to $\Pi \oplus \Pi \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi$. Hence $(G, \Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie groupoid over a point. Conversely, if $(G, \Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie groupoid over a point, then the group multiplication map $m : G \times G \to G$ is a $(\Pi \oplus \Pi, \Pi)$-map. Hence $(G, \Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie group in the sense of [15]. One can also see the equivalence between Nambu-Lie groupoid over a point and Nambu-Lie group by using Remark 4.3.

For a Poisson groupoid the following facts are well known [16].

- The groupoid inversion map is a anti-Poisson map.
- The Poisson structure on the total space induces a Poisson structure on the base such that the source map is a Poisson map and the target map is a anti-Poisson map.

In the next proposition we generalize the above facts to the Nambu-Poisson setting.

4.6. **Proposition.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid. Then*

*(1) The inverse map $i : G \to G$, $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ is an anti-Nambu Poisson map.*

*(2) There is a unique Nambu-Poisson structure on $M$ which we denote by $\Pi_M$ for which $\alpha$ is a Nambu-Poisson map and $\beta$ is an anti Nambu-Poisson map.*

*Proof.* (1) It is proved in [12] that given a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with multiplicative $n$-vector field $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}^n(G)$, the groupoid inversion map $i : G \to G$ satisfies

$$i_*\Pi = (-1)^{n-1}\Pi.$$

Hence the result follows as $\Pi$ is a Nambu tensor.

(2) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in C^\infty(M)$ be any functions on $M$. Then for any $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{\alpha^* f_1, \ldots, \alpha^* f_n\}(g) =& \Pi(g)(d_g(\alpha^* f_1), \ldots, d_g(\alpha^* f_n)) \\ =& \Pi(g)(\alpha^*(d_{\alpha(g)} f_1), \ldots, \alpha^*(d_{\alpha(g)} f_n)) \\ =& \alpha_*\Pi(g)(d_{\alpha(g)} f_1, \ldots, d_{\alpha(g)} f_n).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_*\Pi(g)$ depends only on the value of $\alpha(g)$, it follows that the function $\{\alpha^* f_1, \ldots, \alpha^* f_n\}$ is constant on the $\alpha$-fibers. Therefore, by the Proposition 3.7, there exists a Nambu-Poisson structure $\Pi_M$ with the induced bracket denoted by $\{\ , \ldots, \ \}_M$, on $M$ for which $\alpha$ is a Nambu-Poisson map. Since $\beta = \alpha \circ i$ and $i$ is anti Nambu-Poisson, therefore $\beta$ is an anti Nambu-Poisson map. □

4.7. *Remark.* Consider the map $(\alpha, \beta) : G \to M \times M$. Since we have $\alpha_*\Pi = \Pi_M$ and $\beta_*\Pi = (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$, using property *(4)* of the Theorem 4.2 we obtain

$$(\alpha, \beta)_*\Pi = \alpha_*\Pi \oplus \beta_*\Pi = \Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M.$$

4.8. **Proposition.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid. If the orbit space $M/\sim$ is a smooth manifold, then $M/\sim$ carries a Nambu-Poisson structure such that the projection $q : M \to M/\sim$ is a Nambu-Poisson map.*

*Proof.* Let $\Pi_M$ be the induced Nambu structure on the base $M$. For the projection map $q : M \to M/\sim$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(q) =& \{(x, y) \in M \times M | q(x) = q(y)\} \\ =& \{(\alpha(g), \beta(g)) | g \in G\} \\ =& (\alpha, \beta)(G). \end{aligned}$$

Consider $G$ as a coisotropic submanifold of $G$ with respect to $\Pi$ and also consider the map $(\alpha, \beta) : G \to M \times M$. By the above Remark $(\alpha, \beta)_*\Pi = \Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$. Therefore, by the Proposition 3.4, $R(q) = (\alpha, \beta)(G)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M \times M$ with respect to $\Pi_M \oplus (-1)^{n-1}\Pi_M$. Hence, the result follows by the Proposition 3.10. □

## 5. Nambu-Lie groupoids and infinitesimal

The aim of this section, is to study the infinitesimal form of a Nambu-Lie groupoid. We show that if $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ with Lie algebroid $AG \to M$, then $(AG, A^*G)$ forms a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ introduced in [1]. Before proceeding further, let us briefly recall from [1] the notion of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.

Lie bialgebroids are generalization of both Poisson manifolds and Lie bialgebras. Recall that a Lie bialgebroid, introduced by Mackenzie and Xu [10] is also the infinitesimal form of a Poisson groupoid. It is defined as a pair $(A, A^*)$ of Lie algebroids in duality, where the Lie bracket of $A$ satisfies the following compatibility condition expressed in terms of the differential $d_*$ on $\Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet A)$

$$d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y],$$

for all $X,\ Y \in \Gamma A$.

We note that if $M$ is a Poisson manifold then the Lie algebroid structures on $TM$ and $T^*M$ form a Lie bialgebroid. On the other hand, it is well known [7,10] that if $(A, A^*)$ is a Lie bialgebroid over a smooth manifold $M$ then there is a canonical Poisson structure on the base manifold $M$.

Thus it is natural to ask the following question which was posed in [1]:
*Does there exist some notion of bialgebroid associated to a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n > 2$ ?*

To answer this question, the authors [1] introduced the notion of weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.

It is well known [4,15] that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold $M$ of order $n \geqslant 2$, the space $\Omega^1(M)$ of 1-forms admits an $n$-ary bracket, called *Nambu-form bracket*, such that the bracket satisfies almost all the properties of an $n$-Lie algebra (also known as Filippov algebra of order $n$) bracket [3] except that the Fundamental identity is satisfied only in a restricted sense as described below.

Let $(M, \{\ ,\dots,\ \})$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n$ with associated Nambu-Poisson tensor $\Pi$. Then one can define the Nambu form-bracket on the space of 1-forms

$$[\ ,\dots,\ ] : \Omega^1(M) \times \cdots \times \Omega^1(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$$

by the following

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\alpha}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} \alpha_k - (n-1) d(\Pi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$$

$$= d(\Pi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \iota_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\alpha}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} d\alpha_k \tag{2}$$

for $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(M), i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $\hat{\alpha}_k$ in a monomial $\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\alpha}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n$ means that the symbol $\alpha_k$ is missing in the monomial. The above bracket satisfies the following properties ([15]).

(1) The bracket is skew-symmetric.
(2) $[df_1, \dots, df_n] = d\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$.
(3) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, f\alpha_n] = f[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n] + \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f)\alpha_n$.
(4) The bracket satisfies the Fundamental identity

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}, [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_k], \dots, \beta_n]$$

whenever the 1-forms $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(M)$ are closed, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and for any $\beta_j$.
(5) $[\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}), \Pi^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})]$
$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Pi^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_k] \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})$
for closed 1-forms $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(M)$ and for any 1-forms $\beta_j$.

The Nambu-form bracket on $\Omega^1(M)$, together with the usual Lie algebroid structure on $TM$ yields an example of a notion called a *weak Lie-Filippov algebroid pair of order $n$*, $n > 2$, on a smooth vector bundle (cf. Definition 5.5, [1]).

In order to classify such structures, the authors formulate a notion of *Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order $n$*. It turns out, weak-Lie-Filippov algebroid pair structures of order $n$, $n > 2$, on a smooth vector bundle $A$ over $M$, are in bijective correspondence with Nambu-Gerstenhaber brackets of order $n$ on the graded commutative, associative algebra $\Gamma \bigwedge^\bullet A^*$ of multisections of $A^*$, where $A^*$ is the dual bundle (cf. Definition 5.7, Theorem 5.8, [1]).

Moreover, for a Nambu-Poisson manifold $M$ of order $n > 2$, the Nambu-Gerstenhaber bracket on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$, extending the Nambu-form bracket on $\Omega^1(M)$ satisfies certain suitable compatibility condition similar to the compatibility condition of a Lie bialgebroid. This motivates the authors to introduce the notion of a *weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure* of order $n$ on a smooth vector bundle.

5.1. **Definition.** A *weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n > 2$* over a smooth manifold $M$ consists of a pair $(A, A^*)$, where $A$ is a smooth vector bundle over $M$ with dual bundle $A^*$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $A$ is a Lie algebroid with $d_A$ being the differential of the Lie algebroid cohomology of $A$ with trivial representation;

(2) the space of smooth sections $\Gamma A^*$ admits a skew-symmetric $n$-ary bracket

$$[\ ,\dots,\ ]:\Gamma A^*\times\cdots\times\Gamma A^*\longrightarrow\Gamma A^*$$

satisfying

$$[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},[\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n]]=\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{k-1},[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_k],\dots,\beta_n]$$

for all $d_A$-closed sections $\alpha_i\in\Gamma A^*,\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$ and for any sections $\beta_j\in\Gamma A^*,\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant n$;

(3) there exists a vector bundle map $\rho:\bigwedge^{n-1}A^*\longrightarrow TM$, called the *anchor* of the pair $(A,A^*)$, such that the identity

$$[\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1}),\rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})]=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_k]\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})$$

holds for all $d_A$-closed sections $\alpha_i\in\Gamma A^*,\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$ and for any sections $\beta_j\in\Gamma A^*,\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$;

(4) for all sections $\alpha_i\in\Gamma A^*,\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and any $f\in C^\infty(M)$,

$$[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},f\alpha_n]=f[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\alpha_n]+\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\alpha_n$$

holds;

(5) the following compatibility condition holds:

$$d_A[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n]=\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\alpha_1,\dots,d_A\alpha_k,\dots,\alpha_n],$$

for any $\alpha_i\in\Gamma A^*$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, where the bracket $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ on the right hand side is the graded extension of the bracket on $\Gamma A^*$.

A weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (of order $n$) over $M$ is denoted by $(A,A^*)$ when all the structures are understood. A Lie bialgebroid is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order 2 such that the conditions (2) and (3) of the above definition has no restriction on $\alpha$.

In [1], the authors have shown that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold $M$ of order $n>2$, the pair $(TM,T^*M)$ is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ (cf. Corollary 6.3, [1]). It is also proved that if $(G,\Pi)$ is a Nambu-Lie group [15] of order $n$ with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ forms a (weak) Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ over a Point.

It is known that the base of a Lie bialgebroid carries a natural Poisson structure. In [1] it has been extended to the Nambu-Poisson set up.

5.2. **Proposition.** *([1]) Let $(A,A^*)$ be a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (of order $n$) over $M$. Then the bracket*

$$\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}_{(A,A^*)}:=\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af_{n-1})f_n$$

*defines a Nambu-Poisson structure of order $n$ on $M$.*

Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ with Lie algebroid $AG \to M$. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then by part (5) of the Proposition 4.2, $\iota_{d(\beta^* f)}\Pi$ is a left invariant $(n-1)$-vector field on $G$. Therefore, there exist an $(n-1)$-multisection $\delta^0_\Pi(f) \in \Gamma \bigwedge^{n-1} AG$ of the Lie algebroid $AG$ such that

$$\iota_{d(\beta^* f)}\Pi = \overleftarrow{\delta^0_\Pi(f)}$$

Moreover we have the following result.

5.3. **Proposition.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ and $AG \to M$ be its Lie algebroid. Then for any $X \in \Gamma AG$,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi := [\overleftarrow{X}, \Pi]$$

*is a left invariant $n$ vector field on $G$, where $\overleftarrow{X}$ is the left invariant vector field on $G$ corresponding to $X$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi$ corresponds to the $n$-multisection $-\delta^1_\Pi(X) \in \Gamma \bigwedge^n AG$, that is,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi = -\overleftarrow{\delta^1_\Pi(X)}$$

*where $\delta^1_\Pi(X) \in \Gamma \bigwedge^n AG$ is given by*

$$\delta^1_\Pi(X)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\alpha}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)(X(\alpha_k)) - X([\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n])$$

*for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*G = \Gamma(TM)^0$.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{X}_t = \exp tX$ be the one-parameter family of bisections generated by $X \in \Gamma AG$. Let $g \in G$ with $\beta(g) = u$. Let $u_t = (\exp tX)(u)$ be the integral curve of $\overleftarrow{X}$ starting from $u$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is any (local) bisection through $g$, then from the multiplicativity condition of $\Pi$, we have

$$\Pi(gu_t) = (r_{\mathcal{X}_t})_* \Pi(g) + (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* \Pi(u_t) - (r_{\mathcal{X}_t})_* (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* \Pi(u).$$

Therefore,

$$(r_{\mathcal{X}_t^{-1}})_* \Pi(gu_t) - \Pi(g) = (r_{\mathcal{X}_t^{-1}})_* (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* \Pi(u_t) - (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* \Pi(u).$$

Taking derivative at $t = 0$, one obtains

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi)(g) = (l_{\mathcal{G}})_* ((\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi)(u)).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi$ is left invariant by the Proposition 2.12 and hence it corresponds to some $n$-multisection of $AG$. To show that $\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi$ corresponds to $-\delta^1_\Pi(X) \in \Gamma \bigwedge^n AG$, we have to check that $\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi$ and $-\overleftarrow{\delta^1_\Pi(X)}$ coincide on the unit space $M$ (both being left invariant). Since both of them are tangent to $\alpha$-fibers, it is enough to show that they coincide on the conormal bundle $(TM)^0$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be any sections of $(TM)^0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_n$ be their

respective extensions to one forms on $G$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\Pi)\big|_M(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\\
=&\big[\langle\overleftarrow{X}, d(\Pi(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\dots,\tilde{\alpha}_n))\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\Pi(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\dots,\mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\tilde{\alpha}_k,\dots,\tilde{\alpha}_n)\big]\big|_M\\
=&\big[\langle\overleftarrow{X}, [\tilde{\alpha}_1,\dots,\tilde{\alpha}_n]\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\langle\overleftarrow{X}, \iota_{\Pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{\tilde{\alpha}}_k\wedge\cdots\wedge\tilde{\alpha}_n)}d\tilde{\alpha}_k\rangle\\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\langle\Pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{\tilde{\alpha}}_k\wedge\cdots\wedge\tilde{\alpha}_n), \mathcal{L}_{\overleftarrow{X}}\tilde{\alpha}_k\rangle\big]\big|_M\\
&\qquad\qquad\text{(from the Equation (2))}\\
=&\big[\langle\overleftarrow{X}, [\tilde{\alpha}_1,\dots,\tilde{\alpha}_n]\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\langle\Pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{\tilde{\alpha}}_k\wedge\cdots\wedge\tilde{\alpha}_n), d\iota_{\overleftarrow{X}}\tilde{\alpha}_k\rangle\big]\big|_M\\
&\qquad\qquad\text{(using Cartan formula)}\\
=&\langle X, [\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n]\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{\alpha}_k\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_n)(X(\alpha_k))\\
=&-\delta^1_\Pi(X)(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

5.4. **Proposition.** *([12]) We have the following easy observation.*

(i) $\delta^0_\Pi(fg) = f\delta^0_\Pi(g) + g\delta^0_\Pi(f)$;
(ii) $\delta^1_\Pi(fX) = f\delta^1_\Pi(X) + \delta^0_\Pi(f)\wedge X$;
(iii) $\delta^1_\Pi([X,Y]) = [\delta^1_\Pi(X), Y] + [X, \delta^1_\Pi(Y)]$,

*for $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma AG$.*

To make our notation simple, let us denote $\delta^0_\Pi, \delta^1_\Pi$ by the same symbol $\delta_\Pi$. We extend $\delta_\Pi$ to the graded algebra $\Gamma\bigwedge^\bullet A$ of multisections of $AG$ by the following rule

$$\delta_\Pi(P\wedge Q) = \delta_\Pi(P)\wedge Q + (-1)^{|P|(n-1)}P\wedge\delta_\Pi(Q)$$

for $P \in \Gamma\bigwedge^{|P|}A, Q \in \Gamma\bigwedge^{|Q|}A$. Then the operator

$$\delta_\Pi : \Gamma\bigwedge^{k}AG \to \Gamma\bigwedge^{k+n-1}AG$$

satisfies

$$\delta_\Pi([P,Q]) = [\delta_\Pi(P), Q] + (-1)^{(|P|-1)(n-1)}[P, \delta_\Pi(Q)].$$

We need the following proposition to prove the next theorem.

5.5. **Proposition.** *Let $C$ be a closed embedded coisotropic submanifold of a Nambu-Poisson manifold $(M,\Pi)$ of order $n$. Then*

*(1) the bundle map $\Pi^\sharp : \bigwedge^{n-1}T^*M \to TM$ maps $\bigwedge^{n-1}(TC)^0$ to $TC$;*
*(2) the Nambu-form bracket on the space of 1-forms $\Omega^1(M)$ can be restricted to the sections of the conormal bundle $(TC)^0 \to C$.*

*Proof.* The assertion (1) follows from the definition of coisotropic submanifold. To prove (2), let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma(TC)^0$. We extend them to 1-forms on $M$, which we denote by the same notation. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}^1(M)$ such that $X\big|_C$ is tangent to $C$. From the definition of Nambu-form bracket on 1-forms, we have

$$\langle [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n], X\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \langle \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} \alpha_k, X\rangle - (n-1)\langle d(\Pi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)), X\rangle.$$

Observe that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} \alpha_k, X\rangle = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} \langle \alpha_k, X\rangle - \langle \alpha_k, [\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n), X]\rangle$$

This is zero on $C$, because,

- $\langle \alpha_k, X\rangle$ is zero on $C$;
- $\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)$ and $X$ are both tangent to $C$ and hence their Lie bracket is also tangent to C. Thus its pairing with $\alpha_k$ vanish on $C$.

Note that $\Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})\big|_C$ is tangent to $C$ and $\alpha_n\big|_C \in (TC)^0$. As a consequence, the function

$$\Pi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \langle \alpha_n, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})\rangle$$

is zero on $C$. Therefore, the differential $d(\Pi(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n))$ restricted to $C$ is in $(TC)^0$, which in turn implies that the second term of the right hand side also vanish on $C$. Hence

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]\big|_C \in (TC)^0.$$

One can check that, the restriction to $C$ does not depend on the chosen extension. Hence it defines a bracket on the sections of the conormal bundle $(TC)^0 \to C$. □

5.6. *Remark.* (1) Let $m_0 \in M$ such that $\Pi(m_0) = 0$. Then $\{m_0\}$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$. In this case, the conormal structure becomes $T^*_{m_0}M$, which is a Filippov algebra.

(2) The Nambu structure of a Nambu-Lie group G vanishes at the identity element and therefore the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G has a Filippov algebra structure [15].

We known that, Lie bialgebroids are infinitesimal form of Poisson groupoids. More precisely, given a Poisson groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with Lie algebroid $AG$, it is known that its dual bundle $A^*G$ also carries a Lie algebroid structure and $(AG, A^*G)$ forms a Lie bialgebroid. In the next theorem we show that weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroids are infinitesimal form of Nambu-Lie groupoids.

5.7. **Theorem.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$ with Lie algebroid $AG \to M$. Then $(AG, A^*G)$ forms a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ over $M$.*

*Proof.* By the Proposition 5.5, we see that the sections of the conormal bundle $A^*G = (TM)^0 \to M$ admit a skew-symmetric $n$-bracket and a bundle map $\Pi^\sharp\big|_M : \bigwedge^{n-1} A^*G = \bigwedge^{n-1}(TM)^0 \to TM$, as $M$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $G$.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \Gamma(TM)^0 = \Gamma(A^*G)$ with $d_A\alpha_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}$ be respectively, their extensions to left invariant 1-forms on $G$ such that $d\tilde{\alpha}_i =$

0, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then the conditions (2) and (3) of the Definition 5.1 of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid follows from the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure $(TG, T^*G)$ (Note that $G$ is a Nambu-Poisson manifold).

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then observe that

$$[\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}, (\beta^* f)\tilde{\alpha}_n] = (\beta^* f)[\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_n] + \Pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1})(\beta^* f)\tilde{\alpha}_n.$$

Since $(\beta^* f)\tilde{\alpha}_n = \widetilde{f\alpha_n}$, by assertion (2) of the Propostion 5.5 we get

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, f\alpha_n] = f[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] + \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f)\alpha_n$$

proving condition (4) of the Definition 5.1. The compatibility condition follows from the observation that for any $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*G) = \Gamma(TM)^0$ and any left invariant extension $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(G)$, we have

$$d_A\alpha = (d\tilde{\alpha})|_M.$$

Thus, $(AG, A^*G)$ is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$.

□

We have seen that given a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$, there is an induced Nambu-Poisson structure on the base manifold (Proposition 4.6). On the other hand, given a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid, there is an induced Nambu-Poisson structure on the base (Proposition 5.2). The next proposition shows that, how these Nambu-Poisson structures on the base induced from the Nambu Lie groupoid and its infinitesimal are related.

5.8. **Proposition.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid (of order $n$) with associated weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$. Then the induced Nambu structures on $M$ coming from the Nambu-Lie groupoid and the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid are related by*

$$\{\ ,\dots,\ \}_M = (-1)^{n-1}\{\ ,\dots,\ \}_{(AG,A^*G)}$$

*Proof.* For any functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}_{(AG,A^*G)} =& \Pi^\sharp\big|_M(d_A f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})f_n \\
=& \Pi^\sharp(d(\beta^* f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\beta^* f_{n-1}))\big|_M f_n \\
=& \Pi(\beta^* f_1, \dots, \beta^* f_{n-1}, \beta^* f_n)\big|_M \\
=& (-1)^{n-1}\big(\beta^*\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}\big)\big|_M \\
=& (-1)^{n-1}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}_M.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 6. Coisotropic subgroupoids of a Nambu-Lie groupoid

In this final section, we introduce the notion of coisotropic subgroupoid of a Nambu-Lie groupoid and study the infinitesimal object corresponding to it.

6.1. **Definition.** Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid of order $n$. Then a subgroupoid $H \rightrightarrows N$ is called a *coisotropic subgroupoid* if $H$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $G$ with respect to $\Pi$.

6.2. **Example.**
(1) For $n = 2$, that is, when $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Poisson groupoid, this notion is same as the coisotropic subgroupoid of a Poisson groupoid introduced in [17].
(2) Let $(G, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie group. Then a subgroup of $G$ is called coisotropic if it is also a coisotropic submanifold of $G$. Any coisotropic subgroup of $G$ is a coisotropic subgroupoid over a point.
(3) Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid. Then by the Proposition 4.6, there exist an induced Nambu-structure on $M$ for which the source map $\alpha$ is a Nambu-Poisson map. Let $N \hookrightarrow M$ be a coisotropic submanifold of $M$ with respect to this induced Nambu structure. Consider the restriction $G\big|_N := \alpha^{-1}(N) \cap \beta^{-1}(N)$, then $G\big|_N \rightrightarrows N$ is a coisotropic subgroupoid.
(4) Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid. If the set of all elements of $G$ which has same source and target, is a submanifold of $G$, then it is a coisotropic subgroupoid.

Note that, the infinitesimal object corresponding to a Nambu-Lie groupoid $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ is the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$. Therefore it is natural to ask how the Lie algebroid of a coisotropic subgroupoid $H \rightrightarrows N$ is related to the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$. To answer this question, we introduce a notion of *coisotropic subalgebroid* of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid and show that infinitesimal forms of coisotropic subgroupoids of a Nambu-Lie groupoid $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ appear as coisotropic subalgebroids of the corresponding weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$.

6.3. **Definition.** Let $(A, A^*)$ be a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n$ over $M$. Then a Lie subalgebroid $B \to N$ of $A \to M$ is called a *coisotropic subalgebroid* if the anchor $\rho : \bigwedge^{n-1} A^* \to TM$ and the $n$-bracket $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ on $\Gamma A^*$ satisfy the following properties.
(1) The anchor $\rho$ maps $\bigwedge^{n-1} B^0 \to TN$.
(2) If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*$ with $\alpha_i\big|_N \in B^0$ for all $i$, then $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]\big|_N \in B^0$.
(3) If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*$ with $\alpha_i\big|_N \in B^0$ for all $i$ and $\alpha_n\big|_N = 0$, then $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]\big|_N = 0$,
where $B^0_x = \{\gamma \in A^*_x | \gamma(v) = 0, \forall v \in B_x\}$, is the annihilator of $B_x$, $x \in N$.

6.4. **Example.** Let $M$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold, then $(TM, T^*M)$ is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid over $M$. Let $N \hookrightarrow M$ be a coisotropic submanifold. Then from the Proposition 5.5, it follows that the tangent bundle $TN \to N$ is a coisotropic subalgebroid.

It is known that (Proposition 5.2, see also [1]), the base of a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid carries a Nambu structure. The next Proposition shows that the base of a coisotropic subalgebroid is a coisotropic submanifold with respect to this induced Nambu structure.

6.5. **Proposition.** *Let $(A, A^*)$ be a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid over $M$ and $B \to N$ be a coisotropic subalgebroid. Then $N$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$.*

*Proof.* Let $a : A \to TM$ denote the anchor of the Lie algebroid $A$ and $\rho : \bigwedge^{n-1} A^* \to TM$ be the anchor of pair $(A, A^*)$. We first show that, $a^*(TN)^0 \subseteq B^0$. This is true because, $\langle a^*\xi_x, v\rangle = \langle \xi_x, a(v)\rangle = 0$ for $\xi_x \in (TN)^0_x$ and $v \in B_x$.

Let $\Pi_{(A,A^*)}$ be the induced Nambu structure on $M$ coming from the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(A, A^*)$. Then the induced map $\Pi^\sharp_{(A,A^*)} : \bigwedge^{n-1} T^*M \to TM$ is given by $\Pi^\sharp_{(A,A^*)} = \rho \circ \bigwedge^{n-1} a^*$. Therefore, for any $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1} \in (TN)^0$, we have

$$\Pi^\sharp_{(A,A^*)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}) = \rho(a^*\xi_1, \ldots, a^*\xi_{n-1}) \in TN$$

as $a^*\xi_i \in B^0$ and $B$ is a coisotropic subalgebroid. Therefore $N$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$. □

The next proposition shows that the infinitesimal object corresponding to coisotropic subgroupoids are coisotropic subalgebroids.

6.6. **Proposition.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid with weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$. Let $H \rightrightarrows N$ be a coisotropic subgroupoid of $G \rightrightarrows M$ with Lie algebroid $AH \to N$. Then $AH \to N$ is a coisotropic subalgebroid.*

*Proof.* Since $H \rightrightarrows N$ is a Lie subgroupoid of $G \rightrightarrows M$, therefore $AH \to N$ is a Lie subalgebroid of $AG \to M$. We claim that the anchor $\rho = \Pi^\sharp\big|_{\bigwedge^{n-1}(TM)^0} = \Pi^\sharp\big|_{\bigwedge^{n-1}(A^*G)}$ of the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid $(AG, A^*G)$ maps $\bigwedge^{n-1}(AH)^0$ to $TN$. First observe that, for any $x \in N$, $(AH)^0_x = (TM)^0_x \cap (TH)^0_x$ and $T_xN = T_xM \cap T_xH$. Therefore, $\rho$ maps $\bigwedge^{n-1}(AH)^0$ to

$$\Pi^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1}(TM)^0) \cap \Pi^\sharp(\bigwedge^{n-1}(TH)^0) \subseteq TM \cap TH \cong TN,$$

here we have used the fact that $M$ and $H$ are both coisotropic submanifolds of $G$.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*G = \Gamma(TM)^0$ such that $\alpha_i\big|_N \in (AH)^0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_n$ be one forms on $G$ extending $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and are conormal to $H$. Then by the Proposition 5.5, the 1-form $[\tilde{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha_n}]$ is conormal to both $M$ and $H$, as $M$ and $H$ are both coisotropic submanifolds of $G$. Therefore,

$$[\tilde{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha_n}]\big|_N \in (TM)^0 \cap (TH)^0 \cong (AH)^0.$$

Verification of the last condition of the Definition 6.3 is similar. Hence $AH \to N$ is a coisotropic subalgebroid of $(AG, A^*G)$. □

6.7. **Corollary.** *Let $(G \rightrightarrows M, \Pi)$ be a Nambu-Lie groupoid and $H \rightrightarrows N$ be a coisotropic subgroupoid. Then $N$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $M$.*

## References


[1] Samik Basu, Somnath Basu, Apurba Das and Goutam Mukherjee, *Nambu structures and associated Bialgebroids*, preprint, arXiv:1502.06533

[2] Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung, *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin (2005).

[3] V. T. Filippov, *n-Lie algebras*, Sib. Math. Zh., **26 (6)**, 126-140, (1985).
[4] J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, *On Filippov algebroid and multiplicative Nambu structures*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **12** (2000) 35-50.
[5] R. Ibáñez, M. de León, J.C. Marrero, E. Padrón, *Leibniz algebroid associated with a Nambu-Poisson structure*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 8129-8144.
[6] R. Ibáñez, M. de León , J.C. Marrero and D. M. de Diego, *Reduction of generalized Poisson and Nambu-Poisson manifolds*, Rep. Math. Phys. **42** (1998) 71-90.
[7] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach; *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta applicandae Mathematicae **41**: 153-165, 1995.
[8] Jiang-Hua Lu and Alan Weinstein, *Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations, and Bruhat decompositions*, J. Diff. Geom. **31** (1990) 501-526.
[9] Kirill C.H. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2005).
[10] Kirill C.H. Mackenzie and Ping Xu, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Duke Math. J. **73**, (1994) 415-452.
[11] Yoichiro Nambu, *Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics*, Phys. Rev. D, **7** (1973) 2405-2412.
[12] David Iglesias Ponte, Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Ping Xu, *Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), no. 3, 681-731.
[13] Leon Takhtajan, *On foundation of the Generalized Nambu Mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume **160**, Number 2 (1994), 295-315.
[14] Izu Vaisman, *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 118, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1994).
[15] Izu Vaisman, *Nambu-Lie groups*, J. Lie Theory **10** (2000), 181-194.
[16] Alan Weinstein, *Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988) 705-727.
[17] Ping Xu, *On Poisson groupoids*, Inter. J. math. **6**, No. 1, 101-124 (1995).

*E-mail address*: apurbadas348@gmail.com

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, West Bengal, India.